

below set forth Tennessee's GSR-related charges:

First Revised Second Revised Sheet No. 21A
First Revised Second Revised Sheet No. 22
First Revised Second Revised Sheet No. 22A
First Revised Second Revised Sheet No. 24
Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 30

Tennessee states that copies of the filing were posted in conformance with Section 154.16 of the Commission's Regulations and mailed to all affected customers of Tennessee and interested state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before April 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene. Copies of the filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-8540 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5185-6]

Access to Confidential Business Information by TechLaw, Inc. and Its Team Subcontractors

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA awarded Region I Enforcement Support Services (ESS) Contract 68-W4-0019 to prime contractor, TechLaw, Inc. EPA has authorized TechLaw, including its team subcontractors, CDM Federal Programs Corporation, Financial Investigations & Services, Inc., Blake Investigative Agency, Barber Associates, Life Systems, Inc., Science Applications International Corporation, ISSI, Inc., and HydroGeoLogic, Inc., access to information in Region I Superfund files which has been submitted to EPA under the environmental statutes administered by the Agency. Some of this information

may be claimed or determined to be confidential business information (CBI).

DATES: Comments should be submitted to EPA within five working days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary H. Grealish, Project Officer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (HPC-CAN), JFK Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203-2211. Telephone (617) 223-5507.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under Contract No. 68-W4-0019, TechLaw provides agency-wide information management support services to the Environmental Protection Agency for the operation of dockets, records management support programs, records centers, and file rooms in certain Headquarters, Regional, Laboratory, and other offices. In performing these tasks, TechLaw employees have access to Agency documents for purposes of document processing, filing, abstracting, analyzing, inventorying, retrieving, tracking, etc. The documents to which TechLaw has access potentially include all documents submitted under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act. Some of these documents may contain information claimed as CBI.

Pursuant to EPA regulations at 40 CFR part 2, subpart B, EPA has determined that TechLaw requires access to CBI to perform the work required under the contract. These regulations provide for five days notice before contractors are given CBI.

TechLaw is required by contract to protect confidential information. When TechLaw's need for the documents is completed, TechLaw will return them to EPA.

Dated: March 28, 1995.

John P. DeVillars,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-8612 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5185-9]

Public Water Supervision Program: Program Revisions for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Commonwealth of Massachusetts is revising its approved State Public Water Supervision Primacy Program.

Massachusetts has adopted drinking water regulations for Volatile Organic Chemicals, Synthetic Organic Chemicals, and Inorganic Chemicals (known as Phase II, IIB, and V) in drinking water that correspond to the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations promulgated by EPA on January 30, 1991 (56 FR 3526), July 1, 1991 (56 FR 30266), and July 17, 1992 (57 FR 31776). EPA has determined that the State program revisions are no less stringent than the corresponding Federal regulations. Therefore, EPA has tentatively decided to approve these State program revisions.

All interested parties are invited to request a public hearing. A request for a public hearing must be submitted by May 8, 1995 to the Regional Administrator at the address shown below. Frivolous or insubstantial requests for a hearing may be denied by the Regional Administrator. However, if a substantial request for a public hearing is made by May 8, 1995, a public hearing will be held. If no timely and appropriate request for a hearing is received and the Regional Administrator does not elect to hold a hearing on his own motion, this determination shall become effective May 8, 1995.

Any request for a public hearing shall include the following: (1) The name, address, and telephone number of the individual, organization or other entity requesting a hearing. (2) A brief statement of the requesting person's interest in the Regional Administrator's determination and of information that the requesting person intended to submit at such hearing. (3) The signature of the individual making the request; or, if the request is made on behalf of an organization or other entity, the signature of a responsible official of the organization or other entity.

ADDRESSES: All documents relating to this determination are available for inspection between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, at the following offices:

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Supply—9th Floor, One Winter Street, Boston, MA 02108
and
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency—New England, Water Management Division, Ground Water Management and Water Supply Branch, One Congress Street—11th Floor, Boston, MA 02203

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kevin Reilly, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency—Region I, Ground Water Management and Water Supply

Branch, JFK Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203, Telephone: (617) 565-3619.

Authority: Section 1413 of the Safe Drinking Water Act as amended, 42 U.S.C. 300f *et seq.*, and 40 CFR 142.10 of the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations.

Dated: March 24, 1995.

John P. DeVillars,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-8614 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[ER-FRL-4721-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 6, 1995 through March 10, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

Summary of Rating Definitions

Environmental Impact of the Action

LO—Lack of Objections

The EPA review has not identified any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the proposal. The review may have disclosed opportunities for application of mitigation measures that could be accomplished with no more than minor changes to the proposal.

EC—Environmental Concerns

The EPA review has identified environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment. Corrective measures may require changes to the preferred alternative or application of mitigation measures that can reduce the environmental impact. EPA would like to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EU—Environmental Objections

The EPA review has identified significant environmental impacts that must be avoided in order to provide adequate protection for the environment. Corrective measures may require substantial changes to the preferred alternative or consideration of some other project alternative (including the no action alternative or a new alternative). EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EO—Environmentally Unsatisfactory

The EPA review has identified adverse environmental impacts that are of sufficient magnitude that they are unsatisfactory from the standpoint of public health or welfare or environmental quality. EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts. If the potentially unsatisfactory impacts are not corrected at the final EIS stage, this proposal will be recommended for referral to the CEQ.

Adequacy of the Impact Statement

Category 1—Adequate

EPA believes the draft EIS adequately sets forth the environmental impact(s) of the preferred alternative and those of the alternatives reasonably available to the project or action. No further analysis or data collection is necessary, but the reviewer may suggest the addition of clarifying language or information.

Category 2—Insufficient Information

The draft EIS does not contain sufficient information for EPA to fully assess environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment, or the EPA reviewer has identified new reasonably available alternatives that are within the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which could reduce the environmental impacts of the action. The identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussion should be included in the final EIS.

Category 3—Inadequate

EPA does not believe that the draft EIS adequately assesses potentially significant environmental impacts of the action, or the EPA reviewer has identified new, reasonably available alternatives that are outside of the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which should be analyzed in order to reduce the potentially significant environmental impacts. EPA believes that the identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussions are of such a magnitude that they should have full public review at a draft stage. EPA does not believe that the draft EIS is adequate for the purposes of the NEPA and/or Section 309 review, and thus should be formally revised and made available for public comment in a supplemental or revised draft EIS. On the basis of the potential significant impacts involved, this proposal could be a candidate for referral to the CEQ.

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-BLM-J03022-WY Rating EC2, Greater Wamsutter Area II Natural

Gas Development Project, Approvals and Permits Issuance, Carbon and Sweetwater Counties, WY.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the plugging program and possible ground water degradation. EPA requested additional information on these issues, as well as, a discussion to reduce the projected disturbance of 5 acres (per well) pad.

ERP No. D-NPS-E65048-TN Rating EC2, Foothills Parkway Section 8D, Construction, between Wear Valley Road (US 321) and Gatlinburg Pigeon Forge Spur (US 441/321), Right-of-Way and COE Section 404 Permits, Great Smoky Mountain National Park, Blount, Sevier and Cocke Counties, TN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding potential acid drainage and requested that the final EIS discuss possible secondary or backup mitigation plans should the proposed strategies fail. ERP No. D-USA-K11058-CA Rating EC2, San Onofre Area Sewage Effluent Compliance Project, Cease and Desist Orders, Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base, San Diego and Orange Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding impacts to wetlands, biological resources and water quality. Additional information is requested for the project description and its alternatives analysis.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-FTA-L54003-OR, New Eugene Transfer Station, Site Selection and Construction, Funding, McDonald Site or IHOP Site, Lane County, OR.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and no environmental concerns with the project were identified. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: April 4, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-8609 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-4721-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260-5076 OR (202) 260-5075. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 27, 1995 Through March 31, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950116, DRAFT EIS, USA, CA, Hamilton Army Airfield Disposal and